

ON THE NUMBER OF SOLUTIONS OF DECOMPOSABLE FORM INEQUALITIES

C.L.Stewart

Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

Debrecen number theory seminar, November 22, 2024

Let n be an integer with $n \geq 2$ and put $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Let F be a non-zero decomposable form in n variables with integer coefficients and degree d with $d > n$, so

$$F(\mathbf{X}) = L_1(\mathbf{X}) \dots L_d(\mathbf{X}) \tag{0.1}$$

where $L_1(\mathbf{X}), \dots, L_d(\mathbf{X})$ are linear forms in $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

Norm forms, discriminant forms, index forms and binary forms are examples of decomposable forms.

Note that $L_1(\mathbf{X}), \dots, L_d(\mathbf{X})$ are not uniquely determined by F since if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ are complex numbers with

$$\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_d = 1$$

then $F(\mathbf{X}) = H_1(\mathbf{X}) \dots H_d(\mathbf{X})$ when

$$\alpha_j L_j(\mathbf{X}) = H_j(\mathbf{X})$$

for $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Let m be a positive integer and let $N_F(m)$ denote the number of points (a_1, \dots, a_n) with integer coordinates for which

$$|F(a_1, \dots, a_n)| \leq m. \quad (0.2)$$

Let V_F denote the volume of the set

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : |F(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq 1\}.$$

By homogeneity the volume of

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : |F(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq m\} \quad (0.3)$$

is $V_F m^{n/d}$ and one might suppose that $N_F(m)$ is close to $V_F m^{n/d}$.

When is that so?

F is said to be of *finite type* if V_F is finite and the same is true for F restricted to any non-trivial rational subspace. In particular, for every n' -dimensional subspace S of \mathbb{R}^n defined over \mathbb{Q} the n' -dimensional volume of F restricted to S is finite.

In 2001 Thunder showed that if F is of **finite type** then

$$N_F(m) \ll_{n,d} m^{n/d}; \quad (0.4)$$

the symbol \ll together with a subscript means less than a positive number which depends on the terms in the subscript. Thunder's result resolved a conjecture of Schmidt and is best possible up to the dependence of the implicit constant on n and d .

For any element $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in \mathbb{C}^n let $\|\mathbf{x}\| = (x_1\bar{x}_1 + \dots + x_n\bar{x}_n)^{1/2}$. For any linear form $L(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha_1 X_1 + \dots + \alpha_n X_n$ in $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ let \mathbf{L} denote the coefficient vector $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of $L(\mathbf{X})$. We define the quantity $\mathcal{H}(F)$ of F by

$$\mathcal{H}(F) = \prod_{i=1}^d \|\mathbf{L}_i\|.$$

Thunder also proved that if F is of finite type and F is not proportional to a power of a definite quadratic form in 2 variables then there exist positive numbers a_F and c_F such that

$$|N_F(m) - m^{n/d} V_F| \ll_{n,d} \mathcal{H}(F)^{c_F} (1 + \log m)^{n-2} m^{\frac{n-1}{d-a_F}}. \quad (0.5)$$

If the discriminant of the form is non-zero then one may take $a_F = 1$ and $c_F = \binom{d-1}{n-1} - 1$.

In 1933 Mahler proved that if $n = 2$ and $F(X_1, X_2)$ is a binary form with integer coefficients which is irreducible over the rationals then

$$|N_F(m) - m^{2/d} V_F| \ll_F m^{1/(d-1)}. \quad (0.6)$$

Thunder's result is a generalization of (0.6) since if F is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} then $a_F = 1$ and F is of finite type.

Ramachandra, in 1969, was the first to obtain an asymptotic result for $N_F(m)$ for a class of decomposable forms with $n \geq 3$. He did so when F has the shape

$$F(\mathbf{X}) = N_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}(X_1 + \alpha X_2 + \alpha^2 X_3 + \dots + \alpha^{n-1} X_n)$$

where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is a number field of degree r with $r \geq 8n^6$ and $N_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes the norm from \mathbb{K} to \mathbb{Q} .

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be non-zero algebraic numbers and put $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Suppose that $F(\mathbf{X})$ is a norm form so

$$F(\mathbf{X}) = N_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1 X_1 + \dots + \alpha_n X_n) = \prod_{\sigma} \sigma(\alpha_1 X_1 + \dots + \alpha_n X_n)$$

where the product is taken over the isomorphic embeddings σ of \mathbb{K} into \mathbb{C} .

Let V be the vector space of all rational linear combinations of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. For each subfield \mathbb{J} of \mathbb{K} we define the linear subspace $V^{\mathbb{J}}$ of V given by the elements of V which remain in V after multiplication by any element of \mathbb{J} . F is said to be non-degenerate if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} and if $V^{\mathbb{J}} = \{0\}$ for each subfield \mathbb{J} of \mathbb{K} which is not \mathbb{Q} or an imaginary quadratic field.

In 1972 Schmidt proved that $N_F(m)$ is finite for each positive integer m if and only if F is non-degenerate. In 2000 Evertse proved that if F is a non-degenerate norm form then

$$N_F(m) \leq (16d)^{(n+1)^3/3} (1 + \log m)^{n(n-1)/2} m^{(n + \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} 1/m)/d}. \quad (0.7)$$

Non-degenerate norm forms are of finite type and so (0.4) gives a better dependence on m than (0.7) although the dependence of the upper bound on n and d is not explicit in (0.4).

Let $N_F^*(m)$ denote the number of vectors (a_1, \dots, a_n) with integer coordinates for which

$$0 < |F(a_1, \dots, a_n)| \leq m. \quad (0.8)$$

If F is of finite type then F does not vanish at any non-zero integer point and so

$$N_F(m) = 1 + N_F^*(m). \quad (0.9)$$

There exist distinct irreducible polynomials F_1, \dots, F_k with integer coefficients, content 1 and degrees d_1, \dots, d_k respectively and there exist positive integers l_1, \dots, l_k for which $d_1 l_1 + \dots + d_k l_k = d$ such that

$$F(\mathbf{X}) = C_0 F_1(\mathbf{X})^{l_1} \dots F_k(\mathbf{X})^{l_k}, \quad (0.10)$$

where $|C_0|$ is the content of F .

For each integer j with $1 \leq j \leq k$ the polynomial $F_j(\mathbf{X})$ is of the form $aN_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}(L(\mathbf{X}))$ where a is a non-zero rational number, \mathbb{K} is a number field of degree d_j over \mathbb{Q} , $N_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes the norm from \mathbb{K} to \mathbb{Q} and $L(\mathbf{X})$ is a linear form which is proportional to a linear form L_i with i from $\{1, \dots, d\}$.

For $i = 1, \dots, d$ let B_i be the rational subspace of \mathbb{R}^n for which $L_i(\mathbf{X}) = 0$. Note that if $L_i(\mathbf{X})$ and $L_j(\mathbf{X})$ divide $F_h(\mathbf{X})$ in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{X}]$ for some h with $1 \leq h \leq k$ then $B_i = B_j$. Thus each polynomial $F_i(\mathbf{X})$ determines exactly one rational subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , say A_i , for which $F_i(\mathbf{X}) = 0$.

Put

$$d_F = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A_i = \{\mathbf{0}\} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \\ \max\{l_{i_1} d_{i_1} + \dots + l_{i_j} d_{i_j}\} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (0.11)$$

where the maximum is taken over those tuples (i_1, \dots, i_j) of distinct integers for which $A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_j}$ is different from the zero vector or equivalently for which there is a non-zero integer point (s_1, \dots, s_n) for which $F_{i_m}(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0$ for $m = 1, \dots, j$.

F is said to be of *essentially finite type* if V_F is finite, $V(\tilde{F})$ is finite whenever \tilde{F} is F restricted to a rational subspace of \mathbb{R}^n which is not a subspace of A_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and

$$A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_k = \{\mathbf{0}\}. \quad (0.12)$$

If F is of essentially finite type then, by virtue of (0.12) ,

$$d_F < d. \quad (0.13)$$

Further, if F is of **finite type** then it is also of **essentially finite type** since in this case $A_i = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and so (0.12) holds.

THEOREM

Let $F(\mathbf{X})$ be a non-zero decomposable form in n variables with integer coefficients and degree d with $d > n \geq 2$ and let m be a positive integer. If F is of essentially finite type then

$$N_F^*(m) \ll_{n,d} m^{\frac{1}{d} + \frac{n-1}{d-d_F}}. \quad (0.14)$$

Notice that if F is of finite type then $d_F = 0$ and Thunder's result (0.4) follows from (0.9) and (0.14).

The proof of Theorem 1 depends on a quantitative version of Schmidt's Subspace Theorem due to Evertse. A key feature of Theorem 1 is that the upper bound for $N_F^*(m)$ is independent of the coefficients of the form F . We require such an estimate in order to prove the analogue of Thunder's second estimate estimate (0.5) for forms of essentially finite type.

Before stating such a result we shall make explicit the quantities a_F and c_F .

For a factorization as in (0.1) of F we let $I(F)$ denote the set of all n -tuples $(\mathbf{L}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{i_n})$ of linearly independent coefficient vectors. For each linear form $L_j(\mathbf{X})$ from (0.1) we denote by $b(L_j)$ the number of n -tuples in $I(F)$ which contain \mathbf{L}_j and we put

$$b_F = \max\{b(L_1), \dots, b(L_n)\}.$$

Next let $J(F)$ be the subset of $I(F)$ consisting of n -tuples $(\mathbf{L}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{i_n})$ for which for $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ either $\mathbf{L}_{i_{j+1}}$ is proportional to $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{i_j}$ or $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{i_j}$ is in the span of $\mathbf{L}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{i_j}$. We then put

$$a_F = \max \left\{ \frac{\text{the number of } \mathbf{L}_i \text{ in the span of } \mathbf{L}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{i_j}}{j} \right\}$$

where the maximum is taken over integers j from $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ and n -tuples $(\mathbf{L}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{i_n})$ from $J(F)$.

Finally we put

$$c_F = \begin{cases} \binom{d-1}{n-1} - 1 & \text{if } \Delta_F \neq 0 \\ \frac{b_F}{n!a_F}(d - (n-1)a_F) - \frac{1}{a_F} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM

Let $F(\mathbf{X})$ be a decomposable form in n variables with integer coefficients and degree d with $d > n \geq 2$ and let m be an integer with $m > 1$. If F is of essentially finite type then

$$|N_F^*(m) - m^{n/d} V_F| \ll_{n,d} \mathcal{H}(F)^{c_F} (\log m)^{n-2} m^{\frac{n-1}{d-a_F}}$$
$$+ (\log m + \log \mathcal{H}(F))^{n-1} m^{\frac{1}{d} + \frac{n-2}{d-d_F}} \quad (0.15)$$

If F is of finite type then $d_F = 0$ and $a_F \geq 1$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{d} + \frac{n-2}{d-d_F} = \frac{n-1}{d} < \frac{n-1}{d-a_F}$$

and so Thunder's second result (0.5) follows from Theorem 2.

For the proof we appeal to Theorem 1 and, once again, to a quantitative version of the Subspace Theorem.

If F is of essentially finite type and F is not proportional to a power of a definite quadratic form in 2 variables then

$$1 \leq a_F \leq \frac{d}{n} - \frac{1}{n(n-1)}. \quad (0.16)$$

The discriminant Δ_F of a form as in (0.1) is given by

$$\Delta_F = \prod_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} \det(\mathbf{L}_{i_1}^{tr}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{i_n}^{tr})$$

where the product is taken over all n -tuples of distinct integers (i_1, \dots, i_n) with $1 \leq i_j \leq d$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Here \mathbf{L}^{tr} denotes the transpose of \mathbf{L} .

Let $B(x, y)$ denote the Beta function. In 1996 Bean and Thunder proved that if $\Delta_F \neq 0$ then

$$|\Delta_F|^{\frac{(d-n)!}{d!}} V_F \leq C_n \quad (0.17)$$

where

$$C_n = \frac{2}{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{k}{n+1}\right) + B\left(\frac{n-k}{n+1}, \frac{k}{n+1}\right) + B\left(\frac{n-k}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \right);$$

the case when $n = 2$ was established by Bean in 1994.

They proved that the upper bound of C_n is sharp in (0.17) and that C_n grows like a constant times $(2n)^n$.

If Δ_F is non-zero then $a_F = 1$ and $c_F = \binom{d-1}{n-1} - 1$. Thus by Theorem 2 and (0.17) we have the following result.

COROLLARY

Let $F(\mathbf{X})$ be a decomposable form in n variables with integer coefficients and degree d with $d > n \geq 2$ and let m be an integer with $m > 1$. If F is of essentially finite type and $\Delta_F \neq 0$ then

$$N_F^*(m) \ll_{n,d} m^{n/d} |\Delta_F|^{-\frac{(d-n)!}{n!}} + \mathcal{H}(F)^{\binom{d-1}{n-1}-1} (\log m)^{n-2} m^{\frac{n-1}{d-1}} \\ + (\log m + \log \mathcal{H}(F))^{n-1} m^{\frac{1}{d} + \frac{n-2}{d-d_F}} \quad (0.18)$$

When $n = 2$, $F(\mathbf{X})$ is a binary form and if Δ_F is non-zero then F is of essentially finite type and d_F is either 0 or 1. Since $a_F = 1$ we obtain our next result.

COROLLARY

Let $F(\mathbf{X})$ be a binary form with integer coefficients, degree d with $d \geq 3$ and $\Delta_F \neq 0$. Let m be a positive integer. Then

$$|N_F^*(m) - m^{2/d} V_F| \ll_d m^{\frac{1}{d-1}} \mathcal{H}(F)^{d-2}. \quad (0.19)$$

Corollary 4 generalizes Mahler's result (0.6), where F is assumed to be irreducible over the rationals, to the case where F has a non-zero discriminant. By (0.5) such a result holds when F is of finite type but that does not give Corollary 4 in the case when F has a linear factor over the rationals.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 build on the work of Thunder. He proceeds by establishing an upper bound for each \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^n for

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n |L_j(\mathbf{x})|}{|\det(\mathbf{L}_{i_1}^{tr}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{i_n}^{tr})|}$$

for some n -tuple $(\mathbf{L}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{i_n})$ from $I(F)$. Thunder establishes two such estimates.

Let $F(\mathbf{X})$ be a decomposable form in n variables with integer coefficients and degree d with $d > n \geq 2$ as in (0.1).

LEMMA

If $F(\mathbf{X})$ is of essentially finite type and F is not proportional to a power of a definite quadratic form in 2 variables then there is a positive number $C_1 = C_1(n, d)$, which depends on n and d , such that for every $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ in \mathbb{R}^n there is an n -tuple $(\mathbf{L}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{i_n})$ in $J(F)$ for which

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n |L_j(\mathbf{x})|}{|\det(\mathbf{L}_{i_1}^{tr}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{i_n}^{tr})|} \leq C_1 \left(\frac{|F(\mathbf{x})|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{d-na_F}} \right)^{1/a_F} \mathcal{H}(F)^{c_F}.$$

The preceding Lemma was proved by Thunder when F is of finite type.

Let $I'(F)$ be the subset of $I(F)$ consisting of the n -tuples $(\mathbf{L}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{i_n})$ of linearly independent coefficient vectors with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$.

LEMMA

If $F(\mathbf{X})$ is of essentially finite type and $\mathcal{H}(F)$ is minimal among forms equivalent to F then there is a positive number $C_2 = C_2(n, d)$, which depends on n and d , such that for every \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^n there is an n -tuple $(\mathbf{L}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{i_n})$ in $I'(F)$ and there is a polynomial $G(\mathbf{X})$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{X}]$ of degree d_0 , with $d_0 \geq d - d_F$, which divides $F(\mathbf{X})$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{X}]$ for which

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n |L_{i_j}(\mathbf{x})|}{|\det(\mathbf{L}_{i_1}^{tr}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{i_n}^{tr})|} \leq C_2 \frac{|F(\mathbf{x})|^{1/d} |G(\mathbf{x})|^{\frac{n-1}{d_0}}}{\mathcal{H}(F)^{1/d}}.$$

If T is in $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ then the form $G(\mathbf{X}) = F(T(\mathbf{X}))$ is said to be equivalent to F . Then $V_F = V_G$ but $\mathcal{H}(F)$ need not be equal to $\mathcal{H}(G)$. Put

$$\mathcal{H}_0(F) = \min_T \mathcal{H}(F \circ T)$$

where the minimum is taken over T in $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

In 1989 Schmidt established a quantitative version of the Subspace Theorem . This was subsequently refined by Evertse in 1996. By combining Lemma 5 with the result of Evertse we are able to prove the following result.

LEMMA

Let F be a decomposable form in n variables with integer coefficients and degree d with $d > n \geq 2$ as in (0.1). Suppose that F is of essentially finite type and that F is not proportional to a power of a definite quadratic form in 2 variables. Put

$$C = \max(C_1, m^{\frac{1}{a_F}}, m^{\frac{1}{d}} \mathcal{H}_0(F)^{1+c_F})^{4a_F(n-1)} \quad (0.20)$$

where C_1 is given in Lemma 5. There is a positive number c , which is computable in terms of n and d , and there are t proper rational subspaces T_1, \dots, T_t of \mathbb{Q}^n with $t \leq c$ such that if \mathbf{a} is an integer point with $\|\mathbf{a}\| \geq C$ for which

$$1 \leq |F(\mathbf{a})| \leq m$$

then \mathbf{a} is in $T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_t$.

Suppose F is proportional to a power of a definite quadratic form in 2 variables, say

$$F(X_1, X_2) = h(AX_1^2 + BX_1X_2 + CX_2^2)^k \quad (0.21)$$

with h, k, A, B, C integers with $h \neq 0, k \geq 2$ and $B^2 - 4AC < 0$.

LEMMA

Then

$$\left| N_F^*(m) - \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{4AC - B^2}} \left(\frac{m}{h}\right)^{2/d} \right| \ll \left(\frac{m}{h}\right)^{1/d}. \quad (0.22)$$

In 1915 Landau gave an asymptotic estimate for $N_G(m)$, hence also for $N_G^*(m)$, when $G(X_1, X_2) = AX_1^2 + BX_1X_2 + CX_2^2$ however he did not make explicit the dependence on the coefficients of G in his estimate, a feature that we require.

Thank you for your attention.