On the solutions of a class of generalized Fermat equations of signature (2, 2n, 3)

Gökhan SOYDAN

Department of Mathematics, Bursa Uludağ University Bursa-TÜRKİYE http://gsoydan.home.uludag.edu.tr

Online Number Theory Seminar Institute of Mathematics, University of Debrecen Debrecen-HUNGARY (This is a joint work with K. Chałupka and A. Dąbrowski)



A (10) < A (10) </p>

- 2 The main results
- 3 The sketches for the proofs
- 4 Some conjectures and questions



Image: Image:

- 2 The main results
- 3 The sketches for the proofs
- 4 Some conjectures and questions
- 5 References

• • • • • • • • • • •

A mathematical adventure that started with Fermat in 1637 and ended with Andrew Wiles in 1995:

Theorem 1 (Fermat's last theorem)

The equation $x^p + y^p = z^p$ has no solutions in non-zero integers x, y, z for $p \ge 3$.



< 日 > (同 > (三 > (三 >)))

• A generalization of Fermat's last theorem:

Conjecture 1 (Beal conjecture)

The equation $x^p + y^q = z^r$ has no solutions in non-zero mutually coprime integers x, y, z for $p, q, r \ge 3$.



• A generalization of Fermat's last theorem:

Conjecture 1 (Beal conjecture)

The equation $x^p + y^q = z^r$ has no solutions in non-zero mutually coprime integers x, y, z for $p, q, r \ge 3$.

 Andrew Beal is a Dallas banker who has a general interest in mathematics.

• A generalization of Fermat's last theorem:

Conjecture 1 (Beal conjecture)

The equation $x^p + y^q = z^r$ has no solutions in non-zero mutually coprime integers x, y, z for $p, q, r \ge 3$.

- Andrew Beal is a Dallas banker who has a general interest in mathematics.
- Beal has personally funded a standing prize of \$ 1 million USD for its proof or disproof.



• For given positive integers p, q, r satisfying 1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1, the generalized Fermat equation

$$Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r \tag{1}$$

has only finitely many primitive integer solutions [Darmon & Granville, 1997].

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• For given positive integers p, q, r satisfying 1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1, the generalized Fermat equation

$$Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r \tag{1}$$

has only finitely many primitive integer solutions [Darmon & Granville, 1997].

- A = B = C = 1 and (p, q, r) = (n, n, n): Fermat's equation
- A = B = C = 1 and y = 1: Catalan's equation

the case 1/p + 1/q + 1/r = 1

 $(p, q, r) \in \{(2, 6, 3), (2, 4, 4), (3, 3, 3), (4, 4, 2), (2, 3, 6)\}$: Each case corresponds to an elliptic curve of rank 0.



the case 1/p + 1/q + 1/r = 1

 $(p, q, r) \in \{(2, 6, 3), (2, 4, 4), (3, 3, 3), (4, 4, 2), (2, 3, 6)\}$: Each case corresponds to an elliptic curve of rank 0.

the case (p, q, r) = (3, 3, 3) and (A, B, C) = (1, 1, 1)

Now we consider the equation $x^3 + y^3 = z^3$. The transformation

$$x = \frac{6}{X} + \frac{Y}{6X}, \ y = \frac{6}{X} - \frac{Y}{6X}$$

yields the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^3 - 432.$$

All rational solutions of the above curve are (X, Y) = (12, 36), (12, 36) and \mathcal{O} . But none of them does not give any solution to the original equation.

the case 1/p + 1/q + 1/r > 1

$(p, q, r) \in \{(2, 2, r), (2, q, 2), (2, 3, 3), (2, 3, 4), (2, 4, 3), (2, 3, 5)\}$: No solution or infinitely many solutions.



the case 1/p + 1/q + 1/r > 1

 $(p, q, r) \in \{(2, 2, r), (2, q, 2), (2, 3, 3), (2, 3, 4), (2, 4, 3), (2, 3, 5)\}$: No solution or infinitely many solutions.

the case (A, B, C) = (1, 1, 1) and (p, q, r) = (2, 2, 2)

This case corresponds to the equation $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$, which has infinitely many solutions.

five small solutions (for the case 1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1)

 $1^{n} + 2^{3} = 3^{2}$ $2^{5} + 7^{2} = 3^{4}$ $7^{3} + 13^{2} = 2^{9}$ $2^{7} + 17^{3} = 71^{2}$ $3^{5} + 11^{4} = 122^{2}$ (Kelly, Scott and de Weger all found these examples independently.)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

five large solutions (for the case 1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1)

 $17^7 + 76271^3 = 21063928^2$ $1414^3 + 2213459^2 = 65^7$ $9262^3 + 15312283^2 = 113^7$ $43^8 + 96222^3 = 30042907^2$ $33^8 + 1549034^2 = 15613^3$ (Beukers and Zagier have found these examples.)

• The most important progress in the field of the Diophantine equations has been with Wiles' proof of Fermat's Last Theorem.

Image: Image:

- The most important progress in the field of the Diophantine equations has been with Wiles' proof of Fermat's Last Theorem.
- His proof is based on deep results about Galois representations associated to elliptic curves and modular forms.

- The most important progress in the field of the Diophantine equations has been with Wiles' proof of Fermat's Last Theorem.
- His proof is based on deep results about Galois representations associated to elliptic curves and modular forms.
- The method of using such results to deal with Diophantine problems, is called the modular approach. After Wiles' proof, the original strategy was strengthened and many mathematicians achieved great success in solving other equations that previously seemed hard.

- The most important progress in the field of the Diophantine equations has been with Wiles' proof of Fermat's Last Theorem.
- His proof is based on deep results about Galois representations associated to elliptic curves and modular forms.
- The method of using such results to deal with Diophantine problems, is called the modular approach. After Wiles' proof, the original strategy was strengthened and many mathematicians achieved great success in solving other equations that previously seemed hard.
- As a result of these efforts, the generalized Fermat equation

$$Ax^{p} + By^{q} = Cz^{r}$$
, with $1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1$, (2)

where $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, A, B, C are non-zero integers and x, y, z are unknown integers became a new area of interest.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

- Modern techniques coming from Galois representations and modular forms:
 - Methods of Frey-Hellegouarch curves and variants of Ribet's level-lowering theorem.
 - The modularity of elliptic curves or abelian varieties over the rationals or totally real number fields.

- Modern techniques coming from Galois representations and modular forms:
 - Methods of Frey–Hellegouarch curves and variants of Ribet's level-lowering theorem.
 - The modularity of elliptic curves or abelian varieties over the rationals or totally real number fields.
- Modern techniques allow to give partial (sometimes complete) results concerning the set of solutions to generalized Fermat equation (usually, when a radical of *ABC* is small),

- Modern techniques coming from Galois representations and modular forms:
 - Methods of Frey-Hellegouarch curves and variants of Ribet's level-lowering theorem.
 - The modularity of elliptic curves or abelian varieties over the rationals or totally real number fields.
- Modern techniques allow to give partial (sometimes complete) results concerning the set of solutions to generalized Fermat equation (usually, when a radical of *ABC* is small),
- at least when (p, q, r) is of the type (n, n, n), (n, n, 2), (n, n, 3), (2n, 2n, 5), (2, 4, n), (2, 6, n), (2, n, 4), (2, n, 6), (3, 3, p), (2, 2n, 3), (2, 2n, 5).

• Here, note that the notation $\{p, q, r\}$ implies that all permutations of the ordered triple $\{p, q, r\}$ are taken into account.

Some known results with (A, B, C) = (1, 1, 1)

 $\{n, n, n\}$ and $n \ge 3$: Wiles and Taylor (Fermat's last theorem) (1995). $\{n, n, 2\}$: Darmon and Merel (for *n* prime ≥ 7) (1997), Poonen (for n = 5, 6, 9) (1998). $\{n, n, 3\}$: Darmon and Merel (for *n* prime ≥ 7) (1997), Lucas (19th century) (for n = 4) and Poonen (for n = 5) (1998). $\{3, 3, n\}$: Kraus (for $17 \le n \le 10000$) (1993), Bruin (for n = 4, 5) (2000,2003), Chen and Siksek (for $17 \le n \le 10^9$) (2009), Dahmen (for n = 7, 11, 13) (2008). (2, n, 4): Application of Bennett-Skinner (2004), includes (4, n, 4) by Darmon (1993).

(2,4,n): Ellenberg (for prime $n \ge 211$) (2004) and Ghioca (for n = 7) (see also Poonen Schaefer, Stoll-2007). (2n,2n,5): Bennett (for $n \ge 7$ and n = 2) (2005), Bruin for n = 3 (2000) and n = 5 follows from Fermat's last theorem. (2,2n,3): Chen (for n prime and 7 < n < 1000 and $n \ne 31$) (2008), Dahmen (the case n = 31 and $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$) (2011) (2,2n,5): Chen (for n > 17 prime and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$) (2010). $\{2,4,6\}$: Bruin (1999).

{2,4,5}: Bruin, $2^5 + 7^2 = 3^4$, $3^5 + 11^4 = 122^2$ (2003). {2,3,9}: Bruin, $13^2 + 7^3 = 2^9$ (2005). {2,3,8}: Bruin, $1^8 + 2^3 = 3^2$, $43^8 + 96222^3 = 30042907^2$, $33^8 + 1549034^2 = 15613^3$ (1999,2003). {2,3,7}: Poonen, Schaefer and Stoll, $1^7 + 2^3 = 3^2$, $2^7 + 17^3 = 71^2$, $17^7 + 76271^3 = 21063928^2$, $9262^3 + 15312283^2 = 113^7$ (2007). {2,6, n}: Bennett and Chen (2012), Bennett et al. (2015) (for the case $n \ge 3$)

 $(3j, 3k, n), j, k \ge 2, n \ge 3$: Immediate from Kraus (1998) $(3, 3, 2n), n \ge 2$: Bennett et al. (2015) $(3, 6, n), n \ge 2$: Bennett et al.(2015) $(2, 2n, k), k \in \{9, 10, 15\}, n \ge 2$: Bennett et al. (2015) $(4, 2n, 3), n \ge 2$: Bennett et al. (2015) (2m, 2n, 3): Bennett et al. (2015) $(n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, m \ge 2)$ (2, 4n, 3): Bennett et al.(2015) $(n \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5} \text{ or } n \equiv \pm 2, \pm 4 \pmod{13})$. (3, 3n, 2): Bennett et al. (2014) (for n prime $n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$)

 $(3j, 3k, n), j, k \ge 2, n \ge 3$: Immediate from Kraus (1998) $(3, 3, 2n), n \ge 2$: Bennett et al. (2015) $(3, 6, n), n \ge 2$: Bennett et al.(2015) $(2, 2n, k), k \in \{9, 10, 15\}, n \ge 2$: Bennett et al. (2015) $(4, 2n, 3), n \ge 2$: Bennett et al. (2015) (2m, 2n, 3): Bennett et al. (2015) $(n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, m \ge 2)$ (2, 4n, 3): Bennett et al.(2015) $(n \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5})$ or $n \equiv \pm 2, \pm 4 \pmod{13}$. (3, 3n, 2): Bennett et al. (2014) (for n prime $n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$)

 Survey papers about solving the generalized Fermat equation when *ABC* = 1: [Bennett, Chen, Dahmen, Yazdani-2015], [Bennett, Mihăilescu, Siksek- 2016].

• When 1/p + 1/q + 1/r is close to one, for example, consider the equations

$$x^{2} + y^{3} = z^{5}, x^{2} + y^{3} = z^{7}, x^{2} + y^{3} = z^{8},$$

then one needs new methods (Chabauty method or its refinements [Bruin-1999,2003], or a combination of Chabauty type method with a modular approach [Poonen, Schaefer, Stoll-2007], [Freitas, Naskręcki, Stoll-2020]).

• When 1/p + 1/q + 1/r is close to one, for example, consider the equations

$$x^{2} + y^{3} = z^{5}, x^{2} + y^{3} = z^{7}, x^{2} + y^{3} = z^{8},$$

then one needs new methods (Chabauty method or its refinements [Bruin-1999,2003], or a combination of Chabauty type method with a modular approach [Poonen, Schaefer, Stoll-2007], [Freitas, Naskręcki, Stoll-2020]).

• In 2022, we studied the Diophantine equation

$$ax^2 + y^{2n} = 4z^3, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \gcd(x, y) = 1, \ n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2},$$
 (3)

where the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a})$ with $a \in \{7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$ is 1, [Chałupka, Dąbrowski, Soydan-JNT-2022].

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三日 - ∽0.00

• In this talk, we first consider the Diophantine equation

$$x^2 + ay^{2n} = 4z^3, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \gcd(x, y) = 1, \ n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2},$$
 (4)

where the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a})$ with $a \in \{7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$ is 1.

• In this talk, we first consider the Diophantine equation

$$x^2 + ay^{2n} = 4z^3, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \gcd(x, y) = 1, \ n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2},$$
 (4)

where the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a})$ with $a \in \{7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$ is 1.

• Why do we work on these equations?

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

• $x^2 + y^{2n} = z^3$ (Bennett, Bruin, Chen, Dahmen, Yazdani, 1999-2015). It is known that this equation has no solutions for a family of *n*'s of natural density one.

- $x^2 + y^{2n} = z^3$ (Bennett, Bruin, Chen, Dahmen, Yazdani, 1999-2015). It is known that this equation has no solutions for a family of *n*'s of natural density one.
- Our motivation:

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

- $x^2 + y^{2n} = z^3$ (Bennett, Bruin, Chen, Dahmen, Yazdani, 1999-2015). It is known that this equation has no solutions for a family of *n*'s of natural density one.
- Our motivation:
 - To extend the above results (and methods) of Bruin, Chen and Dahmen, by considering some Diophantine equations $Ax^2 + By^{2n} = Cz^3$ with (A, B, C)'s different from (1, 1, 1) (assuming for simplicity that the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-AB})$ is one).
 - 2 To extend our previous results about the Diophantine equation

$$ax^2+b^{2n}=4y^k,\ k>3$$
 tek asal, $x,y\in\mathbb{Z},\ n,k\in\mathbb{N},\ (x,y)=1,$

[Dąbrowski, Günhan, Soydan-JNT-2020].

In the above work, we suppose that a ∈ {7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163} and b is an odd prime. In the new work, we fix k = 3, but b is arbitrary.

- In the above work, we suppose that a ∈ {7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163} and b is an odd prime. In the new work, we fix k = 3, but b is arbitrary.
- Why were we unable to handle the Diophantine equations $7x^2 + y^{2n+1} = 4z^3$ and $x^2 + 7y^{2n+1} = 4z^3$?
1. Introduction and motivation

- In the above work, we suppose that a ∈ {7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163} and b is an odd prime. In the new work, we fix k = 3, but b is arbitrary.
- Why were we unable to handle the Diophantine equations $7x^2 + y^{2n+1} = 4z^3$ and $x^2 + 7y^{2n+1} = 4z^3$?
 - In 2007, Poonen, Schaefer and Stoll find the primitive integer solutions to $x^2 + y^7 = z^3$. Their method combine the modular method together with determination of rational points on certain genus-3 algebraic curves. This case (and possible generalizations to $Ax^2 + By^7 = Cz^3$) is very difficult.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

1. Introduction and motivation

- In the above work, we suppose that a ∈ {7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163} and b is an odd prime. In the new work, we fix k = 3, but b is arbitrary.
- Why were we unable to handle the Diophantine equations $7x^2 + y^{2n+1} = 4z^3$ and $x^2 + 7y^{2n+1} = 4z^3$?
 - In 2007, Poonen, Schaefer and Stoll find the primitive integer solutions to $x^2 + y^7 = z^3$. Their method combine the modular method together with determination of rational points on certain genus-3 algebraic curves. This case (and possible generalizations to $Ax^2 + By^7 = Cz^3$) is very difficult.
 - **2** In 2020, Freitas, Naskrecki and Stoll considered a general Diophantine equation $x^2 + y^p = z^3$ (with *p* any prime > 7). They follow and refine the arguments of Poonen, Schaefer and Stoll by combining new ideas around the modular method with recent approaches to determination of the set of rational points on certain algebraic curves.

As a result, they were able to find (under GRH) the complete set of solutions of the Diophantine equation $x^2 + y^p = z^3$ only for p = 11.

• In 2000, Darmon described a program to study the generalized Fermat equation using modularity of abelian varieties of GL_2 -type over totally real fields. The original approach was based on hard open conjectures, which have made it difficult to apply in practice. This is called Darmon's program.

- In 2000, Darmon described a program to study the generalized Fermat equation using modularity of abelian varieties of *GL*₂-type over totally real fields. The original approach was based on hard open conjectures, which have made it difficult to apply in practice. This is called Darmon's program.
- On 10 th of August 2023 and 14 th of August 2023, Billerey, Chen, Dieulefait and Freitas put two papers on arxiv.org.

- In 2000, Darmon described a program to study the generalized Fermat equation using modularity of abelian varieties of *GL*₂-type over totally real fields. The original approach was based on hard open conjectures, which have made it difficult to apply in practice. This is called Darmon's program.
- On 10 th of August 2023 and 14 th of August 2023, Billerey, Chen, Dieulefait and Freitas put two papers on arxiv.org.
- In their first paper, building on the progress surrounding the modular method from the last two decades, they analyze and expand the current limits of this program by developing all the necessary ingredients to use Frey abelian varieties for new Diophantine applications.

• In particular, they deal with all but the fifth and last step in the modular method for Fermat equations of signature (r, r, p) in almost full generality.

- In particular, they deal with all but the fifth and last step in the modular method for Fermat equations of signature (r, r, p) in almost full generality.
- As an application, for all integers $n \ge 2$, they give a resolution of the generalized Fermat equation

$$x^{11} + y^{11} = z^n$$

for solutions (a, b, c) such that a + b satisfies certain 2- or 11-adic conditions.

 And also the tools developed can be viewed as an advance in addressing a difficulty not treated in Darmon's original program: even assuming 'big image' conjectures about residual Galois representations, one still needs to find a method to eliminate Hilbert newforms at the Serre level which do not have complex multiplication.

- And also the tools developed can be viewed as an advance in addressing a difficulty not treated in Darmon's original program: even assuming 'big image' conjectures about residual Galois representations, one still needs to find a method to eliminate Hilbert newforms at the Serre level which do not have complex multiplication.
- In fact, they are able to reduce the problem of solving $x^5 + y^5 = z^p$ to Darmon's 'big image conjecture', thus completing a line of ideas suggested in his original program, and notably only needing the Cartan case of his conjecture.

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト = 三日

• In their second paper, as a first application, they use a multi-Frey approach combining two Frey elliptic curves over totally real fields, a Frey hyperelliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} due to Kraus, and ideas from the Darmon program to give a complete resolution of the generalized Fermat equation

$$x^7 + y^7 = 3z^n$$

for all integers $n \ge 2$.

• In their second paper, as a first application, they use a multi-Frey approach combining two Frey elliptic curves over totally real fields, a Frey hyperelliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} due to Kraus, and ideas from the Darmon program to give a complete resolution of the generalized Fermat equation

$$x^7 + y^7 = 3z^n$$

for all integers $n \ge 2$.

• Moreover, they explain how the use of higher dimensional Frey abelian varieties allows a more efficient proof of this result due to additional structures that they afford, compared to using only Frey elliptic curves.

• As a second application, they use some of these additional structures that Frey abelian varieties possess to show that a full resolution of the generalized Fermat equation

$$x^7 + y^7 = z^n$$

depends only on the Cartan case of Darmon's big image conjecture. In the process, they solve the previous equation for solutions (a, b, c) such that a + b satisfies certain 2- or 7-adic conditions and all $n \ge 2$.

• As a second application, they use some of these additional structures that Frey abelian varieties possess to show that a full resolution of the generalized Fermat equation

$$x^7 + y^7 = z^n$$

depends only on the Cartan case of Darmon's big image conjecture. In the process, they solve the previous equation for solutions (a, b, c) such that a + b satisfies certain 2- or 7-adic conditions and all $n \ge 2$.

• In July 2023, a survey paper about Darmon's program was published by A. Koutsianas & I. Chen. For the details, please see this survey paper.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Introduction and motivation

- 2 The main results
 - 3 The sketches for the proofs
 - 4 Some conjectures and questions
 - 5 References

Image: Image:

Theorem 2 (Chałupka, Dąbrowski, Soydan-?)

The Diophantine equation

$$x^{2} + ay^{2n} = 4z^{3}, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \gcd(x, y) = 1, \ n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2},$$

has no solutions where the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a})$ with $a\in\{11,19,43,67,163\}$ is 1.

Theorem 3 (Chałupka, Dąbrowski, Soydan-?)

Let x, y, z be coprime integers such that $x^2 + 7y^4 = 4z^3$. Then there are rational numbers s, t such that one of the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \pm (-s^4 - 8ts^3 + 18t^2s^2 + 24t^3s - 9t^4) \\ &(-405t^8 - 108st^7 - 504s^2t^6 + 252s^3t^5 - 294s^4t^4 - 84s^5t^3 \\ &- 56s^6t^2 + 4s^7t - 5s^8), \end{aligned}$$
(5)
$$y &= \pm (s^2 + 3t^2)(-s^4 + 6ts^3 + 18t^2s^2 - 18t^3s - 9t^4), \\ z &= (162t^8 - 108st^7 + 252s^2t^6 + 252s^3t^5 + 84s^4t^4 - 84s^5t^3 \\ &+ 28s^6t^2 + 4s^7t + 2s^8), \end{aligned}$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 二日

$$x = \pm (1/32)(s^4 + 21t^4)(441t^8 - 714s^4t^4 + s^8)$$

$$y = (3/4)st(s^4 - 21t^4),$$

$$z = (1/16)(441t^8 + 294s^4t^4 + s^8),$$

(6)

$$x = \pm (1/32)(3s^4 + 7t^4)(9s^8 - 714t^4s^4 + 49t^8)$$

$$y = (3/4)st(3s^4 - 7t^4),$$

$$z = (1/16)(9s^8 + 294t^4s^4 + 49t^8).$$
(7)

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 ○ のへで

Theorem 4 (Chałupka, Dąbrowski, Soydan-?)

Any solution to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^6 = 4z^3$ in coprime integers x, y, z is of the type

$$(x_m, y_m, z_m) = (\pm \omega_m(P)/4d_m^3, \pm \psi_m(P)/d_m, \pm \varphi_m(P)/4d_m^2)$$

for some positive integer m, where P = (8, 20), φ_m , ψ_m and ω_m denote the division polynomials associated to the elliptic curve $Y^2 = X^3 - 112$, and $d_m := \gcd(\pm \omega_m(P)/4, \pm \psi_m(P), \pm \varphi_m(P)/4)$.

Theorem 5 (Chałupka, Dąbrowski, Soydan-?)

The Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^8 = 4z^3$ has the following non-trivial solutions (x, y, z): $(\pm 5, \pm 1, 2)$, $(\pm 16690170427, \pm 105, 4114726)$ and $(\pm 165997441137915, \pm 481, 1902746962)$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Theorem 5 (Chałupka, Dąbrowski, Soydan-?)

The Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^8 = 4z^3$ has the following non-trivial solutions (x, y, z): $(\pm 5, \pm 1, 2)$, $(\pm 16690170427, \pm 105, 4114726)$ and $(\pm 165997441137915, \pm 481, 1902746962)$.

Theorem 6 (Chałupka, Dąbrowski, Soydan-?)

Assume the abc conjecture. Then for a positive proportion of primes p, all non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2p} = 4z^3$ in coprime integers x,y,z are given by $(x, y, z) = (\pm 5, \pm 1, 2)$.

Theorem 7 (Chałupka, Dąbrowski, Soydan-?)

Let n be any integer ≥ 2 . The Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2n} = 4z^{12}$ has no solutions in coprime integers x, y, z.



Introduction and motivation

- 2 The main results
- The sketches for the proofs
 - 4 Some conjectures and questions

5 References

Image: Image:

3. The sketch for the proof (Theorem 2)

- By Theorem 2, we see that the Diophantine equation x² + ay²ⁿ = 4z³ has no solutions where the class number of Q(√-a) with a ∈ {11, 19, 43, 67, 163} is 1.
- As the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a})$ with $a \in \{7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$ is 1, we have the following factorization for the left side of the eq. $x^2 + ay^{2n} = 4z^3$

$$\frac{x+y^n\sqrt{-a}}{2}\cdot\frac{x-y^n\sqrt{-a}}{2}=z^3.$$

Now we have

$$\frac{x+y^n\sqrt{-a}}{2} = \left(\frac{u+v\sqrt{-a}}{2}\right)^3,$$

where u, v are odd rational integers. Note that gcd(u, v) = 1. Equating the real and imaginer parts, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 1 (Chałupka, Dąbrowski, Soydan-2022)

Suppose that (x, y, z) is a solution to $x^2 + ay^{2n} = 4z^3$. Then

$$(x, y'', z) = \left(\frac{u(u^2 - 3av^2)}{4}, \frac{v(3u^2 - av^2)}{4}, \frac{u^2 + av^2}{4}\right)$$
(8)

for some odd $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ with gcd(u, v) = 1.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへの

 By Lemma 1, we have u(u² - 3av²) = 4yⁿ or v(3u² - av²) = 4yⁿ. Now, if a ∈ {11, 19, 43, 67, 163}, then u(u² - 3av²) is congruent to 0 modulo 8, while 4yⁿ is congruent to 4 modulo 8, a contradiction. So, the proof is completed.

- By Lemma 1, we have u(u² 3av²) = 4yⁿ or v(3u² av²) = 4yⁿ. Now, if a ∈ {11, 19, 43, 67, 163}, then u(u² - 3av²) is congruent to 0 modulo 8, while 4yⁿ is congruent to 4 modulo 8, a contradiction. So, the proof is completed.
- Hence, this lemma completes the proof of Theorem 2.

- By Lemma 1, we have u(u² 3av²) = 4yⁿ or v(3u² av²) = 4yⁿ. Now, if a ∈ {11, 19, 43, 67, 163}, then u(u² - 3av²) is congruent to 0 modulo 8, while 4yⁿ is congruent to 4 modulo 8, a contradiction. So, the proof is completed.
- Hence, this lemma completes the proof of Theorem 2.
- So, we only need to consider the Diophantine equation

$$x^2 + 7y^{2n} = 4z^3$$
.

• Here we consider the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^4 = 4z^3$.



- Here we consider the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^4 = 4z^3$.
- We obtain all families of solutions to the title equation (variants of Zagier's result in the case $x^2 + y^4 = z^3$).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

3. The sketch for the proof (Theorem 4)

• Here we consider the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^6 = 4z^3$.

3. The sketch for the proof (Theorem 4)

- Here we consider the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^6 = 4z^3$.
- The above equation corresponds to the elliptic curve

$$E: Y^2 = X^3 - 2^47.$$

By MAGMA, we obtain that the Mordell-Weil group of *E* is cyclic infinite, generated by the point P = (8, 20).

3. The sketch for the proof (Theorem 4)

- Here we consider the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^6 = 4z^3$.
- The above equation corresponds to the elliptic curve

$$E: Y^2 = X^3 - 2^47.$$

By MAGMA, we obtain that the Mordell-Weil group of *E* is cyclic infinite, generated by the point P = (8, 20).

Each solution (x, y, z) of the Diophantine equation x² + 7y⁶ = 4z³ in coprime integers x, y, z leads to a rational point (4z/y², 4x/y³) on the elliptic curve E. We can obtain all such solutions (up to the signs of x and y) considering integer multiplicities mP of the point P. Now using some known results about elliptic curves, we are done.

3. The sketch for the proof (Theorem 5)

• Here we consider the Diophantine equation

$$7x^2 + y^8 = 4z^3.$$

Any primitive solution of the Diophantine equation $7x^2 + y^8 = 4z^3$ satisfies, of course, the equation $7x^2 + (y^2)^4 = 4z^3$. Hence using Theorem 3, we obtain formulas describing x, y^2 and z.

3. The sketch for the proof (Theorem 5)

• Here we consider the Diophantine equation

$$7x^2 + y^8 = 4z^3.$$

Any primitive solution of the Diophantine equation $7x^2 + y^8 = 4z^3$ satisfies, of course, the equation $7x^2 + (y^2)^4 = 4z^3$. Hence using Theorem 3, we obtain formulas describing x, y^2 and z.

• In particular we have the following formulas for y^2 :

$$\begin{split} y^2 &= \pm (s^2 + 3t^2)(-s^4 + 6ts^3 + 18t^2s^2 - 18t^3s - 9t^4), \\ y^2 &= (3/4)st(s^4 - 21t^4), \\ y^2 &= (3/4)st(3s^4 - 7t^4). \end{split}$$

Note that t = 0 implies y = 0. Therefore, nontrivial solutions correspond to affine rational points on one of the following genus two curves:

G.SOYDAN

• Therefore, nontrivial solutions correspond to affine rational points on one of the following genus two curves:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}_5 &: Y^2 = (X^2 + 3)(-X^4 + 6X^3 + 18X^2 - 18X - 9), \\ \mathcal{C}_6 &: Y^2 = -(X^2 + 3)(-X^4 + 6X^3 + 18X^2 - 18X - 9), \\ \mathcal{C}_7 &: Y^2 = X^5 - 3^57X, \\ \mathcal{C}_8 &: Y^2 = X^5 - 3^77X. \end{split}$$

Image: Image:
Definition 2

Let V be a variety defined over \mathbb{Q} . V is everywhere locally solvable (ELS) if the set $V(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is nonempty for all places $p \leq \infty$ of \mathbb{Q} .



Definition 2

Let V be a variety defined over \mathbb{Q} . V is everywhere locally solvable (ELS) if the set $V(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is nonempty for all places $p \leq \infty$ of \mathbb{Q} .

• ELS is necessary for existence of Q-points, but sufficient!

Definition 2

Let V be a variety defined over \mathbb{Q} . V is everywhere locally solvable (ELS) if the set $V(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is nonempty for all places $p \leq \infty$ of \mathbb{Q} .

- ELS is necessary for existence of Q-points, but sufficient!
- We check that the curve C_5 has no rational points. Indeed, the MAGMA commmand HasPointsEverywhereLocally(f, 2) gives $C_5(\mathbb{Q}_3) = \emptyset$.

 In 1941, Claude Chabauty proved the finiteness of the number of rational points on curves of genus g > 0 with a jacobian of Mordell-Weil rank < g over Q.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- In 1941, Claude Chabauty proved the finiteness of the number of rational points on curves of genus g > 0 with a jacobian of Mordell-Weil rank < g over Q.
- This is a method for finding the rational points on a curve *C* of genus at least 2, that applies when the Mordell-Weil group of *Jac*(*C*) has rank less than the genus of C. It involves doing local calculations at some prime where C has good reduction.

 \bullet We use Magma subroutines Chabauty and Chabauty0 for \mathcal{C}_7 and $\mathcal{C}_8,$ respectively.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- We use Magma subroutines Chabauty and Chabauty0 for \mathcal{C}_7 and \mathcal{C}_8 , respectively.
- (i) $Jac(C_7)$ has \mathbb{Q} -rank 1, and $[(7, -70) \infty]$ has infinite order in $Jac(C_7)(\mathbb{Q})$, hence we can use Chabauty.

- We use Magma subroutines Chabauty and Chabauty0 for C_7 and C_8 , respectively.
- (i) $Jac(C_7)$ has \mathbb{Q} -rank 1, and $[(7, -70) \infty]$ has infinite order in $Jac(C_7)(\mathbb{Q})$, hence we can use Chabauty.
- (ii) $Jac(\mathcal{C}_8)$ has \mathbb{Q} -rank 0, hence we use Chabauty0.

- We use Magma subroutines Chabauty and Chabauty0 for C_7 and C_8 , respectively.
- (i) $Jac(C_7)$ has \mathbb{Q} -rank 1, and $[(7, -70) \infty]$ has infinite order in $Jac(C_7)(\mathbb{Q})$, hence we can use Chabauty.
- (ii) $Jac(\mathcal{C}_8)$ has \mathbb{Q} -rank 0, hence we use Chabauty0.
- Calculations in Magma show that the following points in $\mathcal{C}_6(\mathbb{Q})$ are the only ones with heights bounded by 10^8 .

Lemma 8

We have $\{(1, -4), (1, 4), (-11/4, -481/64), (-11/4, 481/64), \infty^+, \infty^-\} \subset C_6(\mathbb{Q}).$



Lemma 8

We have

 $\{(1,-4),(1,4),(-11/4,-481/64),(-11/4,481/64),\infty^+,\infty^-\}\subset \mathcal{C}_6(\mathbb{Q}).$

• $Jac(C_6)$ has Q-rank 1 or 2 (probably 2), and a standard Chabauty's method for calculating $C_6(\mathbb{Q})$ does not work. Then elliptic Chabauty method were unsuccessful.

Lemma 8

We have

 $\{(1,-4),(1,4),(-11/4,-481/64),(-11/4,481/64),\infty^+,\infty^-\}\subset \mathcal{C}_6(\mathbb{Q}).$

- $Jac(C_6)$ has Q-rank 1 or 2 (probably 2), and a standard Chabauty's method for calculating $C_6(\mathbb{Q})$ does not work. Then elliptic Chabauty method were unsuccessful.
- By Chabauty-Coleman estimate we have $\#C_6(\mathbb{Q}) \leq \#C_6(\mathbb{F}_5) + 2 = 8$.

Lemma 8

We have

 $\{(1,-4),(1,4),(-11/4,-481/64),(-11/4,481/64),\infty^+,\infty^-\}\subset \mathcal{C}_6(\mathbb{Q}).$

- $Jac(C_6)$ has Q-rank 1 or 2 (probably 2), and a standard Chabauty's method for calculating $C_6(\mathbb{Q})$ does not work. Then elliptic Chabauty method were unsuccessful.
- By Chabauty-Coleman estimate we have #C₆(ℚ) ≤ #C₆(𝔅₅) + 2 = 8.
- Taking into account the above estimate and calculations in Magma, we expect that in Lemma 8 we may replace ⊂ by the equality of sets. The calculations in Magma took about 380 hours.

Remark 9

We expect (using the above Remarks) that the solutions listed in Theorem 5 are all non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^8 = 4z^3$.



Remark 9

We expect (using the above Remarks) that the solutions listed in Theorem 5 are all non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^8 = 4z^3$.



• Here we consider the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2n} = 4z^3$ where n = p is a prime ≥ 7 .

- Here we consider the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2n} = 4z^3$ where n = p is a prime ≥ 7 .
- Let us start with the conjectural description of the set of solutions of the title equation. Throughout this section we will assume that n = p is a prime ≥ 7.

Conjecture 2

Let $p \ge 7$ be a prime. All non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2p} = 4z^3$ in coprime integers x,y,z are given by $(x, y, z) = (\pm 5, \pm 1, 2)$.

- Here we consider the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2n} = 4z^3$ where n = p is a prime ≥ 7 .
- Let us start with the conjectural description of the set of solutions of the title equation. Throughout this section we will assume that n = p is a prime ≥ 7.

Conjecture 2

Let $p \ge 7$ be a prime. All non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2p} = 4z^3$ in coprime integers x,y,z are given by $(x, y, z) = (\pm 5, \pm 1, 2)$.

• Conjecture 2 follows from the Conjectures 3 and 4 below. Using [Conjecture 2, Ivorra & Kraus-2006] and Proposition 13, we immediately obtain the following result.

Assume the *abc* conjecture. Then for a positive proportion of primes *p*, all non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2p} = 4z^3$ in coprime integers *x*,*y*,*z* are given by $(x, y, z) = (\pm 5, \pm 1, 2)$.

Assume the *abc* conjecture. Then for a positive proportion of primes *p*, all non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2p} = 4z^3$ in coprime integers *x*,*y*,*z* are given by $(x, y, z) = (\pm 5, \pm 1, 2)$.

• Below we will formulate the Conjectures 3 and 4, and prove some results towards each of them.

Assume the *abc* conjecture. Then for a positive proportion of primes *p*, all non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2p} = 4z^3$ in coprime integers *x*,*y*,*z* are given by $(x, y, z) = (\pm 5, \pm 1, 2)$.

- Below we will formulate the Conjectures 3 and 4, and prove some results towards each of them.
- We have reduced the problem of solving the title equation to solving the equations $7\alpha^{2p} + 4\beta^p = 3u^2$ and $3^{2p-3}7\alpha^{2p} + 4\beta^p = u^2$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Assume the *abc* conjecture. Then for a positive proportion of primes *p*, all non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2p} = 4z^3$ in coprime integers *x*,*y*,*z* are given by $(x, y, z) = (\pm 5, \pm 1, 2)$.

- Below we will formulate the Conjectures 3 and 4, and prove some results towards each of them.
- We have reduced the problem of solving the title equation to solving the equations $7\alpha^{2p} + 4\beta^p = 3u^2$ and $3^{2p-3}7\alpha^{2p} + 4\beta^p = u^2$.
- (i) First consider the equation

$$7\alpha^{2p} + 4\beta^p = 3u^2.$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Conjecture 3

Let n = p be a prime ≥ 7 . All non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation $7X^{2p} + 4Y^p = 3Z^2$ in coprime odd integers X, Y, Z are given by $(X, Y, Z) = (\pm 1, -1, \pm 1)$.

Such a conjecture, for all p ≥ p₀, follows from a famous abc conjecture (see [lvorra-Kraus, Conjecture 2-2006]).

Conjecture 3

Let n = p be a prime ≥ 7 . All non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation $7X^{2p} + 4Y^p = 3Z^2$ in coprime odd integers X, Y, Z are given by $(X, Y, Z) = (\pm 1, -1, \pm 1)$.

- Such a conjecture, for all p ≥ p₀, follows from a famous abc conjecture (see [lvorra-Kraus, Conjecture 2-2006]).
- Using modular approach, we immediately obtain the following result towards Conjecture 3.

Proposition 10

Let $p \ge 7$ be a prime. The Diophantine equation $7X^{2p} + 4Y^p = 3Z^2$ has no solutions (a, b, c), where a, b, c are coprime odd integers and $b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

The main steps of the modular method over totally real fields can be summarized as follows.

modular approach

• Constructing a Frey curve

Attach an elliptic curve E/K to a putative solution of a Diophantine equation, where K is some totally real field. In the case of Fermat's Last Theorem, following an idea of Frey–Hellegouarch one considers the curve

$$y^2 = x(x - a^p)(x + b^p)$$

where $a^p + b^p = c^p$, $abc \neq 0$, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Studying different equations require constructing different curves; such an elliptic curve is called a Frey elliptic curve or simply Frey curve for short.

modular approach

• Modularity

Prove modularity of E/K.



3

modular approach

Modularity

Prove modularity of E/K.

Irreducibility

Prove irreducibility of $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$, the mod p Galois representation attached to E.

modular approach

Modularity

Prove modularity of E/K.

Irreducibility

Prove irreducibility of $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$, the mod p Galois representation attached to E.

Level lowering

Conclude that $\overline{\rho}_{E,p} \cong \overline{\rho}_{g,p}$ where g is a Hilbert newform over K of parallel weight 2, trivial character and level among finitely many explicit; here $\overline{\rho}_{g,p}$ denotes the mod p representation attached to g for some $p \mid p$.

modular approach

Contradiction

Compute all the newforms predicted in the previous step; then, for each computed newform g and $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ in its field of coefficients, show that $\overline{\rho}_{E,p} \not\cong \overline{\rho}_{g,\mathfrak{p}}$. This rules out the isomorphism predicted by level lowering, yielding a contradiction. This final step is also known as the elimination step.

Remark 11

Let us explain why we can't prove anything when $b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. One reason is that the solution (x, y, z) = (1, -1, 1) of the equation $7x^p + 4y^p = 3z^2$ is going to be an obstruction, unless it corresponds to a newform with complex multiplication (as in the case of the equation $4x^p + y^p = 3z^2$ in section 6 of [lvorra-Kraus-2006]). In our case we need to consider the newforms of weight 2 and level 504, and all such forms are without complex multiplication.

• (ii) Next, we consider the equation

$$3^{2p-3}7\alpha^{2p} + 4\beta^p = u^2$$

Conjecture 4

Let $p \ge 7$ be a prime. The Diophantine equation $3^{2p-3}7X^{2p} + 4Y^p = Z^2$ has no solutions in coprime odd integers.



Conjecture 4

Let $p \ge 7$ be a prime. The Diophantine equation $3^{2p-3}7X^{2p} + 4Y^p = Z^2$ has no solutions in coprime odd integers.

• Using modular approach, we obtain the following result towards Conjecture 4.

Proposition 12

Let $p \ge 7$ be a prime. The Diophantine equation $3^{2p-3}7X^{2p} + 4Y^p = Z^2$ has no solutions (a, b, c), where a, b, c are coprime odd integers and $b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

 Below we will prove some unconditional results towards Conjecture 4. As a first result we use the symplectic method to show that Conjecture 4 holds for infinitely many p's.

Proposition 13

The Diophantine equation $3^{2p-3}7X^{2p} + 4Y^p = Z^2$ has no solution in coprime odd integers for any prime $p \equiv 5$ or 11 (mod 12).

Symplectic method

The first symplectic criterion was established in 1992 by Kraus and Oesterlé and it is applicable when E and E' have a common prime ℓ of multiplicative reduction. The reason for the name is that the method is conceptually based on the symplectic behaviour of isomorphic Galois representations.

Symplectic/anti-symplectic isomorphism

Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime. Let E and E' be elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} and write E[p] and E'[p] for their p-torsion modules. Write $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for the absolute Galois group. Let $\varphi : E[p] \to E'[p]$ be a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules isomorphism. There is an element $d(\varphi) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ such that, for all $P, Q \in E[p]$, the Weil pairings satisfy $e_{E',p}(\varphi(P),\varphi(Q)) = e_{E,p}(P,Q)^{d(\varphi)}$. We say that φ is a symplectic isomorphism if $d(\varphi)$ is a square modulo p and an anti-symplectic otherwise. If the Galois representation $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$ is irreducible then all $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -isomorphisms have the same symplectic type.
Lemma 3 (Kraus & Oesterlé, 1992)

Let $\ell \neq p$ be primes with $p \geq 3$. Let E and E' be elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} with multiplicative reduction. Suppose that E[p] and E'[p] are isomorphic $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$ -modules. Assume further that $p \nmid v_{\ell}(\Delta'_m)$. Furthermore

E[p] and E'[p] are symplectically isomorphic \Leftrightarrow (

$$rac{v_\ell(\Delta_m)/v_\ell(\Delta_m')}{p} igg) = 1.$$

Moreover, E[p] and E[p] are not both symplectically and anti-symplectically isomorphic.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

3. The sketch for the proof (Theorem 6)

• Here we will assume that $p \ge 7$ is a prime and apply variants of the method introduced by Kraus. Kraus stated a very interesting criterion [Kraus-1998] that often allows to prove that the Diophantine equation $x^3 + y^3 = z^p$ (p an odd prime) has no primitive solutions for fixed p, and verified his criterion for all primes $17 \le p < 10^4$.

3. The sketch for the proof (Theorem 6)

- Here we will assume that $p \ge 7$ is a prime and apply variants of the method introduced by Kraus. Kraus stated a very interesting criterion [Kraus-1998] that often allows to prove that the Diophantine equation $x^3 + y^3 = z^p$ (p an odd prime) has no primitive solutions for fixed p, and verified his criterion for all primes $17 \le p < 10^4$.
- Such a criterion has been formulated (and refined) in other situations.

3. The sketch for the proof (Theorem 6)

- Here we will assume that $p \ge 7$ is a prime and apply variants of the method introduced by Kraus. Kraus stated a very interesting criterion [Kraus-1998] that often allows to prove that the Diophantine equation $x^3 + y^3 = z^p$ (p an odd prime) has no primitive solutions for fixed p, and verified his criterion for all primes $17 \le p < 10^4$.
- Such a criterion has been formulated (and refined) in other situations.

Kraus Type Criterion

Let $q \ge 11$ be a prime number, and let $k \ge 1$ be an integer factor of q-1. Let $\mu_k(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denote the group of k-th roots of unity in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} . Set

$$A_{k,q} := \{\xi \in \mu_k(\mathbb{F}_q) : \frac{7+2^2 3^3 \xi}{3^3} \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 二日

Kraus Type Criterion

For each $\xi \in A_{k,q}$, we denote by δ_{ξ} the least non-negative integer such that

$$f_{\xi}^2 \mod q = rac{7+2^2 3^3 \xi}{3^3}.$$

We associate with each $\xi \in A_{k,q}$ the following equation

$$Y^2 = X^3 + \delta_\xi X^2 + \xi X.$$

Its discriminant equals $2^4 3^{-3} 7 \xi^2$, so it defines an elliptic curve E_{ξ} over \mathbb{F}_q . We put $a_q(\xi) := q + 1 - \# E_{\xi}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Theorem 14 (Chałupka, Dąbrowski, Soydan-?)

Let $p \ge 7$ be a prime (resp. p = 11). Suppose that for each elliptic curve

 $F \in \{588C1, 1176G1\}$ (resp. $F \in \{168A1, 1686B1\}$)

there exists a positive integer k such that the following three conditions hold

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Corollary 15 (Chałupka, Dąbrowski, Soydan-?)

Let $7 \le p < 10^9$ and $p \ne 13,17$ be a prime. Then there are no triples (x, y, z) of coprime odd integers satisfying $3^{2p-3}7x^{2p} + 4y^p = z^2$.



Corollary 15 (Chałupka, Dąbrowski, Soydan-?)

Let $7 \le p < 10^9$ and $p \ne 13,17$ be a prime. Then there are no triples (x, y, z) of coprime odd integers satisfying $3^{2p-3}7x^{2p} + 4y^p = z^2$.

• The computations took about 270 hours (with two desktop computers).

3. The sketch for the proof

The Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2p} = 4z^3$ for $7 \le p \le 19$ prime

the Selmer Chabauty method of Stoll

• The Selmer Chabauty method of Stoll [Stoll-2018] may lead to determining the set $\mathcal{D}_p(\mathbb{Q})$ for $\mathcal{D}_p: Y^2 = 12X^p + 21$, for prime values of $p, 7 \le p \le 19$. Such a result, combined with Corollary 15 will give all solutions to the title Diophantine equations.

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト = 三日

3. The sketch for the proof

The Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2p} = 4z^3$ for $7 \le p \le 19$ prime

the Selmer Chabauty method of Stoll

- The Selmer Chabauty method of Stoll [Stoll-2018] may lead to determining the set D_p(Q) for D_p : Y² = 12X^p + 21, for prime values of p, 7 ≤ p ≤ 19. Such a result, combined with Corollary 15 will give all solutions to the title Diophantine equations.
- Solving the title equation can be reduced to solving the following two Diophantine equations in coprime odd integers:

$$7X^{2p} + 4Y^p = 3Z^2$$

and

$$3^{2p-3}7X^{2p} + 4Y^p = Z^2.$$

3. The sketch for the proof

The Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2p} = 4z^3$ for $7 \le p \le 19$ prime

the Selmer Chabauty method of Stoll

- The Selmer Chabauty method of Stoll [Stoll-2018] may lead to determining the set $\mathcal{D}_p(\mathbb{Q})$ for $\mathcal{D}_p: Y^2 = 12X^p + 21$, for prime values of $p, 7 \le p \le 19$. Such a result, combined with Corollary 15 will give all solutions to the title Diophantine equations.
- Solving the title equation can be reduced to solving the following two Diophantine equations in coprime odd integers:

$$7X^{2p} + 4Y^p = 3Z^2$$

and

$$3^{2p-3}7X^{2p} + 4Y^p = Z^2.$$

• A Kraus type criterion shows that the second one has no solutions (see Corollary 15).

15 December 2023

64 / 83

G.SOYDAN

3. The sketch for the proof The Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2p} = 4z^3$ for $7 \le p \le 19$ prime

the Selmer Chabauty method of Stoll

The first Diophantine equation leads to the curve
 D_p: Y² = 12X^p + 21. Note that {∞, (-1, 3), (-1, -3)} ⊂ D_p(ℚ), and the rational points (-1, ±3) lead to the (obvious) solutions (x, y, z) = (±5, ±1, 2) of the Diophantine equation x² + 7y¹⁴ = 4z³.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

3. The sketch for the proof The Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2p} = 4z^3$ for $7 \le p \le 19$ prime

the Selmer Chabauty method of Stoll

- The first Diophantine equation leads to the curve *D_p*: Y² = 12X^p + 21. Note that {∞, (-1, 3), (-1, -3)} ⊂ D_p(ℚ), and the rational points (-1, ±3) lead to the (obvious) solutions (x, y, z) = (±5, ±1, 2) of the Diophantine equation x² + 7y¹⁴ = 4z³.
- Let 7 ≤ p ≤ 19 be a prime. Following the Selmer Chabauty method (here we skip some technical details) may lead to determining D_p(Q).

We expect (compare Conjecture 2), that all non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation x² + 7y¹⁰ = 4z³ in coprime integers x,y,z are given by (x, y, z) = (±5, ±1, 2) or (±1788379, ±15, 12184).

- We expect (compare Conjecture 2), that all non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation x² + 7y¹⁰ = 4z³ in coprime integers x,y,z are given by (x, y, z) = (±5, ±1, 2) or (±1788379, ±15, 12184).
- We may consider the equations

$$7\alpha^{2p} + 4\beta^p = 3u^2$$

and

$$3^{2p-3}7\alpha^{2p} + 4\beta^p = u^2$$

for p = 5, and in this case they lead to the genus 2 curves $C_1: Y^2 = 12X^5 + 21$ and $C_2: Y^2 = 4X^5 + 3^7 \times 7$, respectively.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

- We expect (compare Conjecture 2), that all non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation x² + 7y¹⁰ = 4z³ in coprime integers x,y,z are given by (x, y, z) = (±5, ±1, 2) or (±1788379, ±15, 12184).
- We may consider the equations

$$7\alpha^{2p} + 4\beta^p = 3u^2$$

and

$$3^{2p-3}7\alpha^{2p} + 4\beta^p = u^2$$

for p = 5, and in this case they lead to the genus 2 curves $C_1: Y^2 = 12X^5 + 21$ and $C_2: Y^2 = 4X^5 + 3^7 \times 7$, respectively.

Now Magma shows that Jac(C_i) (i = 1, 2) have Q-ranks 2, hence a standard Chabauty's method for calculating C_i(Q) (i = 1, 2) does not work.

G.SOYDAN

It is easy to check the following results.

Lemma 16

We have

(*i*) {(-1, -3), (-1, 3),
$$\infty$$
} $\subset C_1(\mathbb{Q})$;
(*ii*) {(-5, -53), (-5, 53), ∞ } $\subset C_2(\mathbb{Q})$.

• Calculations in Magma show that the above points are the only ones with heights bounded by 3×10^7 (it took about 53 hours for each curve on the desktop computer). We expect that in Lemma 16 we may replace \subset by the equality of sets.

It is easy to check the following results.

Lemma 16

We have

(i)
$$\{(-1, -3), (-1, 3), \infty\} \subset \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{Q});$$

(ii) $\{(-5, -53), (-5, 53), \infty\} \subset \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbb{Q}).$

- Calculations in Magma show that the above points are the only ones with heights bounded by 3×10^7 (it took about 53 hours for each curve on the desktop computer). We expect that in Lemma 16 we may replace \subset by the equality of sets.
- As a consequence, we are lead to the above conjectural description of the set of solution to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{10} = 4z^3$.

- Of course, we may (and will) assume that n = p is a prime. Writing $7y^{2p} = (2z^6 x)(2z^6 + x)$, we are led to consider the Diophantine equation $u^{2p} + 7v^{2p} = 4z^6$.
- Next, writing $7v^{2p} = (2z^3 u^p)(2z^3 + u^p)$, we are led to consider the Diophantine equation

$$7V^{2p} + 2U^p = Z^{2p}. (9)$$

If x, y, z in the title equation are coprime, then U, V, Z are odd and coprime.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

(i) Let p = 2. In this case, the title equation leads to an elliptic curve E : Y² = X³ − 28X, with E(Q) = {(0,0),∞}.

- (i) Let p = 2. In this case, the title equation leads to an elliptic curve E : Y² = X³ − 28X, with E(Q) = {(0,0),∞}.
- (ii) Let p = 3. In this case, the equation $7v^6 = (2z^3 u^3)(2z^3 + u^3)$ leads to $2z^3 = S^6 + T^3$. Now, it is well known that the only rational points on the cubic curve $X^3 + Y^3 = 2$ are (1, 1) and the point at infinity.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

- (i) Let p = 2. In this case, the title equation leads to an elliptic curve $E: Y^2 = X^3 28X$, with $E(\mathbb{Q}) = \{(0,0),\infty\}$.
- (ii) Let p = 3. In this case, the equation $7v^6 = (2z^3 u^3)(2z^3 + u^3)$ leads to $2z^3 = S^6 + T^3$. Now, it is well known that the only rational points on the cubic curve $X^3 + Y^3 = 2$ are (1, 1) and the point at infinity.
- (iii) Let $p \ge 5$ be any prime. Suppose that the coprime odd integers a, b, c solve the equation (9). The associated Frey curve is

$$E = E_{a,b,c}^{p}: y^{2} = x(x - 7a^{2p})(x + 2b^{p})$$

(see [Kraus-1997,Section 4] for details). Here we follow the procedure of the signature (p, p, p).

Introduction and motivation

- 2 The main results
- 3 The sketches for the proofs
- 4 Some conjectures and questions

5 References

Image: A matrix

Conjecture 5

Let $p \ge 7$ be a prime. All non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{2p} = 4z^3$ in coprime integers x,y,z are given by $(x, y, z) = (\pm 5, \pm 1, 2)$.

Conjecture 6

All non-trivial solutions to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7y^{10} = 4z^3$ in coprime integers x,y,z are given by $(x, y, z) = (\pm 5, \pm 1, 2)$ or $(\pm 1788379, \pm 15, 12184)$.

Question 1

Has the Diophantine equation $7x^2 + y^{2p} = 4z^3$ any solution for all primes $p \ge 10^9$?

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

Conjecture 7

The Diophantine equation $7x^2 + y^{14} = 4z^3$ has no solution in coprime odd integers.

• Here we discuss a few approaches to this equation and the obstacles to making them work here.

Why is hard to prove Conjecture 7 for us?

• Here we discuss a few approaches to this equation and the obstacles to making them work here.

Image: Image:

Why is hard to prove Conjecture 7 for us?

- Here we discuss a few approaches to this equation and the obstacles to making them work here.
- (i) The modular method. The Diophantine equation $7x^2 + y^{14} = 4z^3$ is reduced to the equations

$$\alpha^{2p} - 4\beta^p = 21v^2$$

and

$$3^{2p-3}\alpha^{2p} - 4\beta^p = 7v^2$$

for p = 7: $X^{14} - 4Y^7 = 21Z^2$ and $3^{11}X^{14} - 4Y^7 = 7Z^2$, respectively. In both cases, we could not exclude the possibility that the Galois representation associated to the Frey type curve arises from newform with nonrational Fourier coefficients.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

(ii) Chabauty type approach in genus 3. The Diophantine equations from (i) lead to the genus 3 curves D₁ : y² = x⁷ + 2¹² ⋅ 3⁷ ⋅ 7⁷ and D₂ : y² = x⁷ + 2¹² ⋅ 3¹¹ ⋅ 7⁷, respectively. Magma calculations show that the only rational points on D_i(Q) (with bounds 10⁹) are points at infinity, as expected. Magma also shows that ranks of Jac(D_i)(Q) (i = 1, 2) are bounded by 1.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

• There are two technical problems to use Chabauty method:

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- There are two technical problems to use Chabauty method:
 - One needs explicit rational points of infinite order (not easy to find).
 - Othere is no readily available implementation of Chabauty's method for (odd degree) hyperelliptic genus 3 curves.

- There are two technical problems to use Chabauty method:
 - One needs explicit rational points of infinite order (not easy to find).
 - Provide the second state of the second stat
- Professor Stoll suggested to try the methods of his papers [Stoll, 2018], but we were not able to follow his advise yet.

• (iii) Combination of the modular and Chabauty methods. One may consider a more general Diophantine equation $7x^2 + y^7 = 4z^3$, try to follow the paper [Poonen,Schaefer,Stoll-2007], and then deduce the solutions for the original Diophantine equation. It seems a very difficult task, but maybe the only available way ...

Introduction and motivation

- 2 The main results
- 3 The sketches for the proofs
- 4 Some conjectures and questions



• = • •

Image: Image:

- - M.A. Bennett, C.M. Skinner, *Ternary diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms*, Canad. J. Math. 56(1) (2004), 23-54
 - M.A. Bennett, V. Vatsal, S. Yazdani, *Ternary Diophantine equations of signature* (*p*, *p*, 3), Compos. Math. 140 (2004), 1399-1416

W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, *The Magma Algebra System I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997), no. 3-4, 235-265

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- N. Bruin, Chabauty methods and covering techniques applied to generalised *Fermat equations*, PhD Thesis, University of Leiden 1999
- N. Bruin, The Diophantine Equations $x^2 \pm y^4 = \pm z^6$ and $x^2 + y^8 = z^3$, Compos. Math. 118 (1999), 305-321
- N. Bruin, Chabauty methods using elliptic curves, J. reine angew. Math. 562 (2003), 27-49
- K. Chałupka, A. Dąbrowski, G. Soydan, On a class of generalized Fermat equations of signature (2, 2n, 3), Journal of Number Theory 234 (2022), 153-178
- K. Chałupka, A. Dąbrowski, G. Soydan, *On a class of generalized Fermat equations of signature* (2, 2*n*, 3)-*II*, submitted.

- R. Coleman, *Effective Chabauty*, Duke Math. J. 52 (1985), 765-770
- J. Cremona, *Elliptic Curve Data*, http://johncremona.github.io/ecdata/ and *LMFDB The L-functions and Modular Forms Database*, http://www.lmfdb.org/ or *Elliptic curves over* Q, http://www.lmfdb.org/EllipticCurve/Q/
- S.R. Dahmen, S. Siksek, *Perfect powers expressible as sums of two fifth or seventh powers*, Acta Arith. 164 (2014), 65-100
 - W. Ivorra, A. Kraus, Quelques résultats sur les équations $ax^p + by^p = cz^2$, Canad. J. Math. 58 (2006), 115-153
- A. Kraus, *Majorations effectives pour l'équation de Fermat généralisée*, Can. J. Math. 49(6) (1997), 1139-1161
- A. Kraus, J. Oesterlé, *Sur une question de B. Mazur*, Math. Ann. 293 (1992), 259-275
- G. Martin, Dimensions of spaces of cusp forms and newforms on $\Gamma_0(N)$ and $\Gamma_1(N)$, J. Number Theory 112 (2005), 298-331
- J.H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves* (Second Edition), Graduate Texts in Math. 106 (2009)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- W. Stein, *The Modular Forms Database*: http://wstein.org/Tables/tables.html
- M. Stoll, Chabauty without the Mordell-Weil group, In: G. Böckle, W. Decker, G. Malle (Eds.): Algorithmic and Experimental Methods in Algebra, Geometry, and Number Theory, Springer Verlag (2018), 623-663
- J.L. Wetherell, *Bounding the number of rational points on certain curves of high rank*, PhD Thesis, Univ. of California at Berkeley, 1997

Thank you for your attention! Köszönöm a figyelmet!



イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日